# Journal of Research in Medical and Dental Science 2021, Volume 9, Issue 12, Page No: 371-373

Copyright CC BY-NC 4.0 Available Online at: www.jrmds.in eISSN No.: pISSN No.2347-2545



# A study on prophylactic mesh placement in midline laparotomy for prevention of incisional hernia in high risk patient

# Chirayu Thakkar\*

Department of Medical Science, University of Chester, Chester, United Kingdom

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Midline abdominal laparotomy is common and associated with incisional hernia, especially if associated with high-risk patients. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of incisional hernia prevention with prophylactic mesh repair in midline elective laparotomy patients. The present study included 25 adult patients undergoing elective, midline open laparotomy with risk factors to open surgery. Result was a decrease in incidence of incisional hernia to 4%. Postoperative complications involved incisional hernia, seroma, hematoma and chronic wound pain. It was concluded that prophylactic mesh placement in midline incision laparotomy is effective and safe for high risk patients and patients with comorbidities.

**Keywords:** Incisional hernia, prophylactic mesh placement, laparotomy, Turmeric and Fenugreek-Herbal Agents to Allev -iate Scikle Cell Disease

**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:** Chirayu Thakkar\*, A study on prophylactic mesh placement in midline laparotomy for prevention of incisional hernia in high risk patient, J Res Med Dent Sci, 2021, 9(12): 371-373.

**Corresponding author:** Chirayu Thakkar **e-mail** ≅: chirayuthakkar94@yahoo.in

Received: 01/12/2021 Accepted: 15/12/2021

#### INTRODUCTION

Incisional hernia (IH) is the most frequently seen long term complication in laparotomy surgery causing much morbidity and even mortality in patients [1]. Various methods of suture closure and mesh reinforcement have been used to treat IH and restore abdominal wall integrity. Despite advances in IH repair, recurrence rates remain unacceptable (12-54%), and those who experience recurrence are susceptible to a vicious cycle of morbidity, because each subsequent repair presents greater technical challenges and an increased risk for recurrence and morbidity. Patient- related risk factors for incisional hernia after a laparotomy, like obesity, steroid use, malnutrition, smoking and connective tissue disorders are known. Despite this knowledge a sufficient method for their prevention has not been developed yet. Most research in the field of incisional hernia surgery has been performed to prevent recurrence after repair. The closure technique of midline incisions has grossly remained unchanged since many decades and primarily consists of suturing the linea alba. Interest in prevention of incisional hernias with the aid of synthetic mesh is growing and small, yet promising studies have now been published [2].

In this prospective study, we have analyzed the use of prophylactic mesh placement in midline laparotomy patients to prevent incisional hernia in high risk patients.

## **AIMS AND OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of incisional hernia prevention in patients after laparotomy in high risk patients. The present study compared the commonly used technique of running suture to closure with the aid of a prosthetic mesh. The primary outcome measure was incisional hernia occurrence 1 year postoperatively. Secondary outcome measures covered relevant postoperative complications, post-operative pain and quality of life.

### **METHODOLOGY**

In this study we analyzed 25 consecutive patients who underwent clean midline laparotomy and who had high risk for developing incisional hernia according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Informed consent was taken. Onlay or Sublay meshplasty was done on random basis. Patients were followed up for 1 year (at interval of 3 months, 6 months and 1 year) for development of features of seroma, hematoma, wound dehiscence or incisional hernia. Results were compared with the patients undergoing conventional primary suture closure [3].

#### **Inclusion Criteria**

Midline laparotomy for patients having risk factors for incisional hernia such as obesity, steroid use, malnutrition, DM, COPD, TB, smoking and connective tissue disorders. Patients who were willing to give signed informed consent.

#### **Exclusion Criteria**

- Age <18 Years.
- Immune suppression therapy within 2 weeks before surgery.
- Peritonitis and hollow viscous perforation.
- Previously operated patients of hernia surgery. Type of Study: Prospective Study
- Need for Consent: An Informed Consent was taken from every patient included in this study.
- Sample Size: 25. Onlay meshplasty was done in 12 cases and sublay meshplasty was done in 13 cases on random basis.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Prophylactic mesh placement (PMP) is associated with a

postoperative incisional hernia risk reduction when compared to Primary Suture Closure in high risk patients undergoing elective, midline laparotomy closure. The majority of seroma were managed conservatively or with percutaneous drainage. The incidence of incisional hernia was significantly reduced to 1/25 (4%), while it was 24% in the study. As regards seroma, it was 28% and chronic wound pain was 32% in present study, while it was 20% in the study (Table-1). A critique of the onlay mesh technique is the high incidence of seroma, which could lead to surgical site infection (SSI). However, in general, seroma is considered a low-morbidity surgical site occurrence, with no relevant clinical repercussions [4]. Other disadvantage of using prophylactic mesh is the increased operative time. In this study, the operative time was an average of 45.6 minutes longer in the prophylactic meshplasty, compared to suture closure (Table 2). The prophylactic mesh was effective in preventing Fascial Dehiscence, with a decrease in the Fascial Dehiscence incidence rate from 13.5% to 0% compared with running sutures alone. Despite the increase in some specific SSIs in the prophylactic meshplasty, >90% of them resolved spontaneously or with bedside interventions [5].

Table 1: Shows the complication rates in prophylactic onlay meshplasty patients

Complications	POD-1	POD-3	POD-7	POD-15	POD- 30	POD- 90	POD- 180	POD-365
Seroma	0	2	2	1	1	0	0	0
Hematoma	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic Pain	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0
Wound Dehiscence/ Incisional Hernia	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

Table 2: Shows the complication rates in prophylactic sublay meshplasty patients.

Complications	POD-1	POD-3	POD-7	POD-15	POD- 30	POD- 90	POD- 180	POD-365
Seroma	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hematoma	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic Pain	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Wound Dehiscence/ Incisional Hernia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

### **CONCLUSIONS**

On the basis of this study, it can be concluded that prophylactic mesh placement in midline incision laparotomy is effective and safe for high risk patients and patients with co-morbidities. Prophylactic mesh placement shows significant reduction in incidence of incisional hernia with minimal possible complications.

# REFERENCES

 Timmermans L, Eker HH, Steyerberg EW, et al. Short-term results of a randomized controlled trial comparing primary suture with primary

- glued mesh augmentation to prevent incisional hernia. Ann Surg 2015;261:276e281.
- De La Portilla F, Flikier B, Espinosa E, et al. Estudioaleatorizadosobre la utilizacio n de mallas reabsorbibles para la prevencio n de la evisceracio nen la cirugíacolorrectal. Cir Esp 2008;83:12e17.
- 3. Argudo N, Pereira JA, Sancho JJ, et al. Prophylactic synthetic mesh can be safely used to close emergency laparotomies, even in peritonitis. Surgery 2014;156:1238e1244.

- 4. Brosi P, Glauser PM, Speich B, et al. Prophylactic intraperitoneal onlay mesh reinforcement reduces the risk of incisional hernia, two-year results of a randomized clinical trial. World J Surg 2018;42:1687e1694.
- 5. Sarr MG, Hutcher NE, Snyder S, et al. A prospective, randomized, multicenter trial of surgisis gold, a biologic prosthetic, as a sublay reinforcement of the fascial closure after open bariatric surgery. Surgery 2014;156:902e908.