

Application of Smear Microscopy, Culture and Polymerase Chain Reaction for Diagnosis of Tuberculous Meningitis in Puducherry

Gandhi Kandhakumari, Selvaraj Stephen* and Jothimani Pradeep

Department of Microbiology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College & Research Institute, SBV University, Pondicherry, India

DOI: 10.24896/jrmds.2017541

ABSTRACT

Tuberculous meningitis, a serious manifestation of extra pulmonary tuberculosis, needs early diagnosis and treatment for better clinical outcome. Conventional methods are time consuming and less sensitive. This study compares the use of Ziehl Neelsen smear microscopy, culture on Lowenstein Jensen medium & Mycobacterium Growth Indicator Tube and polymerase chain reaction. Cerebrospinal fluid collected from 43 patients with clinical suspicion of TBM and five patients with non-infectious meningitis as controls were included in the study. Nested PCR targeting IS6110 gene was carried out for 19 randomized CSF. Analysis of the results was made to assess the role of multiple techniques in the diagnosis of TBM. All CSF including the controls were negative by microscopy and culture. A total of 7 among the 19 CSF tested (36.8%) were positive in TB PCR. PCR plays a crucial role in the diagnosis of TBM where smear and culture remains negative but caution has to be exercised while interpreting the results correlating with clinical features.

Key words: Tuberculous Meningitis; CSF; MGIT960; IS6110 PCR; M. tuberculosis

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Gandhi Kandhakumari, Selvaraj Stephen, Jothimani Pradeep, Application of Smear Microscopy, Culture and Polymerase Chain Reaction for Diagnosis of Tuberculous Meningitis in Puducherry, J Res Med Dent Sci, 2017, 5 (4):1-5, DOI: **10.24896**/jrmds.2017541

Corresponding author: Selvaraj Stephen e-mail⊠stephens4950@gmail.com Received: 02/05/2017 Accepted: 20/10/2017

INTRODUCTION

Tuberculous meningitis (TBM) is the common form of chronic central nervous system infection. It accounts for 1% of all cases of tuberculosis and 5-10% among the extra pulmonary tuberculosis (EPTB) cases [1]. Among children, it develops typically 3-6 months after the primary infection. TBM often presents with nonspecific clinical symptoms, which makes early diagnosis difficult. In India, mortality due to TBM is estimated to be 1.5 100,000 populations [2]. Confirmatory per diagnosis of TBM depends upon the isolation of *M*. tuberculosis from the CSF specimen. Conventional methods like smear microscopy can detect Acid Fast Bacilli (AFB) only when the load is above 10⁵ bacteria / ml. The "gold standard" technique culture cannot detect M. tuberculosis in CSF and considered less sensitive and time consuming [3].

Delay in diagnosis and treatment leads to poor prognosis and development of sequelae in around 25% of cases [4]. Serological tests which detect antigen and antibodies against *M. tuberculosis* in CSF were not recommended routinely for TBM diagnosis [5]. Today molecular techniques which amplify specific target regions of *M.tuberculosis* genome such as polymerase chain reaction are found to be rapid with high sensitivity and specificity. Researchers have targeted various regions like IS6110 region, protein antigen B, devR, 38KD protein, 65kDa, MPB64 and TRC4 gene for the diagnosis of TBM from CSF [3-9]. Due to the repetitive nature, high amplification efficiency and its presence only in *M. tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) PCR targeting IS6110 region is routinely employed for TBM diagnosis [5]. Though researchers applied several techniques, until today there is no single rapid technique that can detect *M*. *tuberculosis* in TBM patients with high sensitivity. Hence, in this study, results from a combination of tests like Ziehl Neelsen (ZN) smear microscopy,

Journal of Research in Medical and Dental Science | Vol. 5 | Issue 4 | October 2017

culture on LJ & MGIT and IS6110 PCR were analyzed for the diagnosis of TBM.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Institutional Human Ethical Committee (IHEC) has approved this research and the work was carried out in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. CSF samples were collected after obtaining written informed consent from patients or from relatives in case of unstable patient. Between June 2011 and October 2014, 43 CSF specimens collected from patients with clinical suspicion of TB Meningitis were included. Pulmonary tuberculosis patients who are confirmed bacteriologically and/or clinically/radiologically and patients treated with ATT (Anti-tuberculous treatment) drugs for any forms of tuberculosis were excluded from our study. Following sterile precautions, CSF was transferred to sterile screw capped falcon centrifuge tubes in a Type 2B Bio safety cabinet and centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 15 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the deposit was used for smear and inoculation on LJ and MGIT960. After inoculation, LJ slants and MGIT tubes were incubated and monitored for the presence of AFB growth up to eight and six weeks respectively. As control, five CSF samples from non-infectious meningitis were included. A small portion of the sample was preserved at -20°C separately, for performing TB PCR. IS6110 PCR was carried out for 19 CSF only using Amplification Reagent Set for Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Bangalore Genei, Bangalore, India. DNA from CSF was extracted using guidelines recommended by the manufacturer for nPCR. This is single-tube nested PCR with two - step sequential assay. In the first step, 220 bp Insertion sequence (IS6110) was amplified and in second step nested primers were added to the amplicon to further amplify the 123 bp region. Gel electrophoresis was carried out and amplified products were observed using gel documentation system (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA, USA). Positive and negative controls provided in the kit were included and monitored to avoid false positivity and carry over contamination.

RESULTS

All 43 CSF samples from clinically suspected TBM patients and five controls were uniformly negative in smear and culture (LJ and MGIT). Seven among the randomly selected 19 samples were positive in TB PCR (36.8%). Among the CSF positive cases

85.7%, cases were male and 14.3% cases were female. All the control samples were negative by PCR.

DISCUSSION

Clinical diagnosis of TBM is a challenge because of widely varying clinical presentation between patients. Since the diagnosis cannot be made based on clinical features alone, TBM is often diagnosed empirically after brain damage. Hence prompt diagnosis is always supported by demonstration of bacilli from CSF along with other laboratory parameters and neuroimaging techniques. Because CSF profile of TBM mimics both infectious and noninfectious meningitis demonstration of bacilli from CSF confirms the diagnosis.

Ziehl Neelsen smear microscopy is economical but is less sensitive in case of paucibacillary specimens particularly body fluids. AFB microscopy needs 10⁴–10⁶ bacilli/mL for smear positivity and hence most of the time it turns out to be negative making the diagnosis difficult [3]. As acid fast smears of CSF are usually negative, treatment of TB meningitis depends upon high index of clinical suspicion and rapid molecular methods rather than smear and culture. Review conducted by Kusum et al and other authors [3, 10-12] report sensitivity ranging from 0- 27.3% and specificity of 100% for CSF microscopy. According to Feng *et al* [12] patients positive for AFB in CSF microscopy will have significant evidence of lung tuberculosis on chest radiograph and have higher numbers of CSF white cells particularly neutrophils. In our study we have pulmonary tuberculosis patients. excluded Thwaites et al [13] observed that the diagnostic performance could be increased by including at least 6 ml of CSF and screening for at least 30 minutes. Another study from China [14] reported that pre-treatment of CSF leucocytes with triton prior to ZN staining will increase the sensitivity. Quantity of most of our CSF samples referred to Microbiology was between 2 to 3 ml only and this is an important factor for smear and culture negativity.

In developing countries like India, conventional LJ culture is used routinely for isolation and it consumes 4-6 weeks for culture positivity. Most of the researchers reported LJ CSF culture positivity between 0-17percent [3, 10, 15-17]. In our study all CSF specimens were negative by culture. Venkataswamy *et al* [18] reported a little higher percentage of 39% from LJ. They also reported that 7% of isolates recovered from CSF had grown

J Res Med Dent Sci, 2017, 5 (4):1-5

exclusively in LI and not in liquid system. This is explained as some isolates were unable to metabolize the palmitic acid in BACTEC 12B medium (radiometric system) or due to absence of some growth promoting factors in the BACTEC 12B that are present in the egg-based medium. Thus they stressed the need for inclusion of LJ media for isolation, as no single medium could recover all the culture positive specimens. Fluormetric systems include BacT/ALERT and MGIT960. Liquid culture system is sensitive and rapid compared to solid media. Sastry et al [15] reported sensitivity and specificity of 25.8% and 100% employing BacT/ALERT for isolation. Few authors have reported CSF positivity ranging from 5.4% to 27.4% in MGIT960 [19, 20]. In our study, all specimens were negative using the MGIT automated system. This could be perhaps due to our samples did not contain the optimal quantity (6 ml). According to Venkataswamy et al [18], increasing the duration of incubation up to 10 weeks during culture might increase the sensitivity. Thus there is a need for a diagnostic technique with short turnaround time, high sensitivity and specificity.

Today molecular techniques like PCR are widely used in the diagnosis of TBM. Research works targeting IS6110 region by PCR reported positivity ranging from 8.5% to 80.5% [21, 6]. Iqbal *et al* [17] observed that, while 58.5% of the CSF was positive by PCR only 7.3% and 17% were positive by smear and LJ respectively. In our study 36.8% samples were positive by PCR targeting IS6110 region, whereas all were negative by smear, LJ and MGIT culture showing the superiority of PCR over culture. However, PCR positivity should always be interpreted with caution, since TB PCR is yet to be approved by Indian FDA as a diagnostic test. Sattar et al [4] and Narayanan et al [6] demonstrated the increased positivity from CSF for TRC4 primer compared to IS6110 region. Sattar et al [4] reported 83.33% positivity while using TRC4 primers and 66.67% with IS6110 primer, whereas Narayanan et al [6] reported 91% by TRC4 and 80.5% positive by IS6110 PCR, among the culture positive CSF samples. Thus compared to IS6110, TRC4 primer is superior in diagnosing tuberculous meningitis because single copy of IS6110 region is present in 40% of *M. tuberculosis* and even absent in 4% of the strains from South India [22]. Thus Mycobacteriologists have recommended that detection of several target genes will increase sensitivity and eliminate false positive and false negative results [6, 9]. Various studies have

reported overall sensitivity and specificity ranging between 32-100% and 38-100% respectively for IS6110 PCR [5]. In some cases of TB meningitis, PCR could be a valuable tool. Narayanan *et al* [6] has insisted the need for research in PCR to make it very specific, simple and cost effective. Unfortunately, until today there is no rapid and sensitive single technique which could help in diagnosing TBM and hence always has to rely on multiple modalities for diagnosis.

Limitations of the study

1. Only small volume of CSF samples (1-2ml) were received. Larger volume upto 6ml could have yielded additional positivity.

2. PCR targeting a single common gene IS6110 was carried out using commercial nested PCR. Inclusion of multiple genes targets like TRC4, GlcB or HspX Antigens or devR DNA MPB64would have increased our positivity [4, 8-10].

CONCLUSION

Diagnosis of TBM by conventional smear microscopy and culture on LJ and automated MGIT960 is less sensitive and time consuming, hence the dependence on molecular techniques. The results of molecular techniques are to be interpreted with clinical correlation, since Indian FDA has not yet approved TB-PCR for diagnostic purpose.

Source of support

This research project was fully funded by the institution.

Acknowledgment

We express our sincere gratitude to the Chairman, Vice-Chancellor, Dean-Research & Allied Health Sciences and Dean-Faculty of Medicine, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College & Research Institute, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth University, Puducherry for their support and encouragement.

Conflicts of interest

Authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. Cherian A, Thomas SV. Central nervous system tuberculosis. Afr Health Sci 2011;11(1):116-27.

Journal of Research in Medical and Dental Science | Vol. 5 | Issue 4 | October 2017

- 2. Murthy JM. Tuberculosis meningitis: the challenges. Neurol India 2010;58(5):716-22.
- Berwal A, Chawla K, Vishwanath S, Shenoy VP. Role of multiplex polymerase chain reaction in diagnosing tubercular meningitis. J Lab Physicians 2017;9(2):145-7.
- 4. Sattar AN, Setu SK, Saleh AA, Ahmed S. TRC4 Gene Based PCR Assay in Diagnosis of Tuberculous Meningitis. Bangladesh J Med Microbiol 2014;8(2):19-22.
- 5. Philip N, William T, John DV. Diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis: challenges and promises. Malays J Pathol 2015;37(1):1-9.
- Narayanan S, Parandaman V, Narayanan PR, Venkatesan P, Girish C, Mahadevan S, *et al.* Evaluation of PCR using TRC₄ and IS6110 primers in detection of tuberculous meningitis. J Clin Microbiol 2001;39(5):2006-8.
- Sharma K, Sharma A, Singh M, Ray P, Dandora R, Sharma SK, *et al.* Evaluation of polymerase chain reaction using protein b primers for rapid diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis. Neurol India 2010;58(5):727-31.
- 8. Haldar S, Sankhyan N, Sharma N, Bansal A, Jain V, Gupta VK *et al.* Detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis GlcB or HspX Antigens or devR DNA Impacts the Rapid Diagnosis of Tuberculous Meningitis in Children. PLoS ONE 2012;7(9):e44630.
- Khosravi AD, Alami A, Meghdadi H, Hosseini AA. Identification of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Clinical Specimens of Patients Suspected of Having Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis by Application of Nested PCR on Five Different Genes. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2017;7:3.
- 10. Kusum S, Manish M, Kapil G, Aman S, Pallab R, Kumar SS *et al.* Evaluation of PCR Using MPB64 Primers for Rapid Diagnosis of Tuberculosis Meningitis. Open Access Sci Rep 2012;1(3):1e4. doi:10.4172/scientificreports.204.
- 11. Erdem H, Ozturk-Engin D, Elaldi N, Gulsun S, Sengoz G, Crisan A, et al. The microbiological diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis: results of Haydarpasa-1 study. Clin Microbiol Infect 2014;20(10):0600–8.
- 12. Feng GD, Shi M, Ma L, Chen P, Wang BJ, Zhang M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of intracellular mycobacterium tuberculosis

detection for tuberculous meningitis. Diagnostic Accuracy of Intracellular Mycobacterium tuberculosis Detection for Tuberculous Meningitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2014;189(4):475-81.

- 13. Thwaites GE, Chau TT, Farrar JJ. Improving the bacteriological diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42(1):378-9.
- 14. Chen P, Shi M, Feng GD, Liu JY, Wang BJ, Shi XD, et al. A highly efficient Ziehl-Neelsen stain: identifying de novo intracellular *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and improving detection of extracellular M. tuberculosis in cerebrospinal fluid. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50(4):1166–70.
- 15. Sastry AS, Bhat KS, Kumudavathi. The Diagnostic Utility of Bact/ALERT and Nested PCR in the Diagnosis of Tuberculous Meningitis. J Clin Diagn Res 2013;7(1):74-8.
- 16. Neelakantan S, Jain A, Singh P, Prakash S, Dixit P, Kalyan R, *et al.* Performance of newer and conventional diagnostic methods in detection of drug sensitive and resistant tuberculous meningitis. Asian Pac J Trop Dis 2014;4:S648-52.
- 17. Iqbal S, Ahmed R, Adhami S, Mumtaz A. Importance of polymerase chain reaction in diagnosis of pulmonary and extrapulmonary tuberculosis. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2011;23(1):73-6.
- Venkataswamy MM, Rafi W, Nagarathna S, Ravi V, Chandramuki A. Comparative evaluation of BACTEC 460TB system and Lowenstein-Jensen medium for the isolation of *M. tuberculosis* from cerebrospinal fluid samples of tuberculous meningitis patients. Indian J Med Microbiol 2007;25(3):236-40.
- 19. Siddiqui MAM, Anuradha PR, Nagamani K, Vishnu PH. Comparison of conventional diagnostic modalities, BACTEC culture with polymerase chain reaction for diagnosis of extra-pulmonary tuberculosis. J Med Allied Sci 2013;3(2):53-8.
- 20. Thakur R, Goyal R, Sarma S. Laboratory diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis is there a scope for further improvement? J Lab Physicians 2010;2(1):21-4.
- 21. Maurya AK, Kant S, Nag VL, Kushwaha R, Dhole TN. Detection of 123 bp fragment of insertion element IS6110 Mycobacterium tuberculosis for diagnosis of

Journal of Research in Medical and Dental Science | Vol. 5 | Issue 4 | October 2017

extrapulmonary tuberculosis. Indian J Med Microbiol 2012;30(2):182-6.

22. Das S, Paramasivan CN, Lowrie DB, Prabhakar R, Narayanan PR. IS6110 restriction fragment length polymorphism typing of clinical isolates of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from patients with pulmonary tuberculosis in Madras, India. Tuber south Lung Dis 1995;76(6):550-4.