

# Assessment of Gestational Age by Measuring Postnatal Foot Length of the Infant

Selvendran C, Jagadeeswari S, S Sundari\*

Department of Orthopaedics, Sree Balaji Medical College & Hospital Affiliated to Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

## ABSTRACT

The present study includes the correlation of foot length and gestational age among preterm, term and post-term neonates and to study the correlation between foot length and gestational age determined by antenatal USG. The present study focuses on the correlation between foot length and New Ballard's scoring among preterm, term and post-term neonates and to study whether foot length can be used as a proxy measurement to birth weight and gestational age assessment. Foot length correlated significantly ( $p < 0.0001$ ) with gestational age.

**Key words:** Neonates, Antenatal USG, Foot length, Infant morbidity, Gestational age, IREs

**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:** Selvendran C, Jagadeeswari S, S Sundari, Assessment of Gestational Age by Measuring Postnatal Foot Length of the Infant, J Res Med Dent Sci, 2021, 9(6): 294-305

**Corresponding author:** S Sundari  
**e-mail** ✉: Sundari.s@bharathuniv.ac.in  
**Received:** 30/03/2021  
**Accepted:** 23/06/2021

## INTRODUCTION

Gestational age is the common term used in pregnancy which denotes the time period between conception and birth [1]. Knowledge about infant's gestational age is important because problems associated with gestational age can be predicted on this basis. Birth weight and Gestational age are the two most important criterions on determining neonatal and infant morbidity and mortality [2]. The most important cause for neonatal mortality is prematurity. Prematurity and its complications accounts for around 35% of neonatal deaths in India compared to 28% worldwide. The most important indicator of survival, growth and overall development is Birth weight. Low birth weight babies in India are 28% which is higher when compared to globally which is only 14.6% [3]. Low birth weight is associated with high mortality rate due to their predisposition to infections and difficulty in maintaining their required nutrition.

Birth weight and Gestational age are directly proportional to the survival of the infant. Higher the birth weight and gestational age, higher will be their survival rate and vice versa. Gestational age antenatal can be assessed by calculating from last menstrual period (LMP) and antenatal Ultrasound scan. Postnatal it can be assessed using New Ballard and Dubowitz scoring system. LMP alone cannot be considered for gestational assessment because LMP dating assumes the menstrual cycle is 28 days and it does not consider any delay in ovulation and may cause an inaccuracy of 1-4 weeks for females with

irregular cycles. Antenatal Ultrasound scan when done earlier than 20 weeks is considered gold standard for Gestational age assessment [4]. In developing countries like India especially in rural setup access to medical professional and technologies are limited. Less than 2/3rd of the females from rural areas undergo first trimester scan during pregnancy. New Ballard's scoring and Dubowitz scoring are based on physical and neurological maturity factors. To assess gestational age using these scoring systems require skilled professionals [5-7]. Identifying preterm babies earliest and referring them to higher centres increases the survival rate of that particular neonate. Hence, a simple alternative which does not require a skilled professional or higher technology is required in rural setup which can be used by an untrained health professional to identify prematurity at the earliest. Previously various anthropometric measures like head circumference, chest circumference, crown heel length were tried for gestational age assessment [8-11]. Hence in this study Foot length is used as a screening tool to assess the gestational age of the new-born as it is easy to measure even by a non-health worker with very low inter and intra observer variability.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Source of data

This study is a cross sectional study which included samples of 200 live born neonates born at Sree Balaji Medical College and Hospital, chrompet from 15 April 2018 to 14 April 2019. Samples were selected by simple random technique.

### Inclusion criteria

All live born neonates with gestational age above 26 weeks as determined by antenatal USG.

To ensure a uniform patient group, only appropriate for gestational age (AGA) babies to be selected for the analysis.

### Exclusion criteria

Neonates with features of chromosomal abnormalities, intrauterine infection or with congenital malformations.

Neonates with indication of prenatal or postnatal structural chest deformities, limb deformities, a neuromuscular condition.

SGA, LGA and IUGR babies are not included.

### Instruments used

- Electronic weighing scale.
- Infantometer.
- Flexible, non-stretchable measuring tape.
- Vernier caliper.

### Methodology of data collection

Data was collected using standard proforma meeting the objectives of the study.

Gestational age was calculated from the first trimester dating scan.

Foot length was measured using vernier calipers. It is measured from second toe to the posterior most prominence of the right foot. At the time of measurement ventral surface of the foot was straightened out by applying gentle pressure. Foot length is documented in millimetre and should be measured within 24 hours of life (Figure 1).



**Figure 1: Foot length.**

Head circumference was measured by encircling supra orbital ridges anteriorly, occipital prominence posteriorly and above the ear lobes laterally. It is measured using a Flexible, non-stretchable measuring tape. Head circumference is documented in centimetres (Figure 2).



**Figure 2: Head circumference.**

Crown heel length was measured from head to heel in lying position and before measuring the lower limbs should be straightened out. It is measured using an infant meter and the measurements are documented in centimetres (Figure 3). Chest circumference was measured using a Flexible, non-stretchable measuring tape at the level of nipples. The measurements are documented in centimetres (Figure 4). Weight of the baby is measured using an electronic weighing machine and the measurements are documented in grams (Figure 5).



**Figure 3: Crown heel length.**



**Figure 4: Chest circumference.**



**Figure 5: Weight of the baby.**

Merz model which is used to determine gestational age by measuring foot length. The foot length of the baby which is measured within 24 hours of life using a vernier caliper is plotted against the Merz model to determine the gestational age of the baby (Table 1).

Table 1: Merz model which is used to determine gestational age by measuring foot length.

Gestational age in weeks	Foot length (mm)
24	44-45.9
25	46-48.9
26	49-51.9
27	52-53.9
28	54-55.9
29	56-58.9
30	59-60.9
31	61-63.9
32	64-65.9
33	66-68.9
34	69-70.9
35	71-72.9
36	73-75.9
37	76-77.9
38	78-80.9
39	81-82.9
40	83-84.9
>40	>85

**New ballard score**

Ballard's maturational assessment consists of 6 physiological and 6 neuromuscular criterias. This scoring system allows to estimate gestational age in the range of 20-44 weeks (Figure 6).

Neuromuscular Maturity

Score	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5
Posture							
Square window (wrist)							
Arm recoil							
Popliteal angle							
Scarf sign							
Heel to ear							

Physical Maturity

Skin	Sticky, friable, transparent	Gelatinous, red, translucent	Smooth, pink; visible veins	Superficial peeling and/or rash; few veins	Cracking, pale areas; rare veins	Parchment, deep cracking; no vessels	Leathery, cracked, wrinkled
Lanugo	None	Sparse	Abundant	Thinning	Bald areas	Mostly bald	Maturity Rating Score Weeks
Plantar surface	Heel-toe 40-50 mm: -1 < 40 mm: -2	> 50 mm, no crease	Faint red marks	Anterior transverse crease only	Creases anterior 2/3	Creases over entire sole	
Breast	Imperceptible	Barely perceptible	Flat areola, no bud	Stippled areola, 1-2 mm bud	Raised areola, 3-4 mm bud	Full areola, 5-10 mm bud	-10 20 -5 22 0 24 5 26 10 28 15 30 20 32 25 34 30 36 35 38 40 40 45 42 50 44
Eye/Ear	Lids fused loosely: -1 tightly: -2	Lids open; pinna flat; stays folded	Slightly curved pinna; soft; slow recoil	Well curved pinna; soft but ready recoil	Formed and firm, instant recoil	Thick cartilage; ear stiff	
Genitals (male)	Scrotum flat, smooth	Scrotum empty; faint rugae	Testes in upper canal, rare rugae	Testes descending, few rugae	Testes down, good rugae	Testes pendulous, deep rugae	
Genitals (female)	Clitoris prominent, labia flat	Clitoris prominent, small labia minora	Clitoris prominent, enlarging minora	Majora and minora equally prominent	Majora large, minora small	Majora cover clitoris and minora	

Figure 6: New ballard score.

RESULTS

In this study out of 200 neonates 125 (62.5%) were delivered by Normal vaginal Delivery, while 57 (28.5%) of the neonates were delivered by Caesarean section and 18 (9%) of them were instrumental delivery (Table 2 and Figure 7). In this study, out of 200 patients as per revised B.G. Prasad scale 8 (4%) of them belonged to Upper class, 32 (16%) patients belonged to Upper Middle class, 49 (24.5%) belonged to Middle class, 50 (25%) patients belonged to Lower Middle Class and 61 (30.5%) patients belonged to Lower class (Table 3 and Figure 8).

Table 2: Mode of delivery of the babies.

Mode of Delivery	Total number of patients	Percentage
NVD	125	63%
LSCS	57	28.50%
Instrumental	18	9%




Figure 7: Mode of delivery of the babies.

Table 3: Socio economic Status of the Family as per B.G. Prasad scale (2019 Revised).

Class	Number of patients	Percentage
Upper Class	8	4%
Upper Middle Class	32	16%
Middle Class	49	24.50%
Lower Middle Class	50	25%
Lower Class	61	30.50%





In preterm babies there was significant correlation between the gestational age determined by New Ballard Score and gestational age determined by measuring foot length. Pearson correlation coefficient (R-value) is 0.958 and p-value is 0.0001 which shows positive correlation between both the data. In term babies the Pearson correlation coefficient (r value) is 0.746 and p-value is 0.0001 which shows positive correlation between both the data. The studies conducted by James et al. [7] showed positive correlation between foot length and gestational age, birth weight, head circumference. But the correlation coefficient was higher between gestational age and foot length.

### CONCLUSION

Significant correlation was noted between gestational age and foot length in this study in both preterm and term babies. Hence foot length of new-born babies measured within 24 hours of life can be plotted against Merz data to determine gestational age and it can be used as a proxy to the gestational age of the new-born. Foot length is a simple, quick and reliable anthropometric measurement which can be used as a proxy measurement to gestational age assessment and birth weight especially in sick and pre-term neonates receiving intensive care. It can be easily measured by medical practitioners and traditional birth attendants in the community.

According to this study foot length of the baby >76 mm signifies that the gestational age of the baby is more than 37 weeks and requires routine care only. When the foot length of the baby is between 70 and 75 mm, it signifies the gestational age of the baby is between 34 and 37 weeks and requires observational care. When the foot length of the baby is <70mm it signifies that the gestational age of the baby is less than 34 weeks and should be referred to higher centre for further management to prevent morbidity and mortalities associated with preterm.

### FUNDING

No funding sources.

### ETHICAL APPROVAL

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The encouragement and support from Bharath University, Chennai is gratefully acknowledged. For provided the laboratory facilities to carry out the research work.

### REFERENCES

1. <https://www.collinsdictionary.com/>
2. American academy of pediatrics committee on fetus and newborn. Nomenclature for duration of gestation, birth weight and intra-uterine growth. *Pediatrics* 1967; 39:935-9.
3. <https://childmortality.org/>
4. Kliegman RM. The fetus and the neonatal infant: Prematurity and intrauterine growth retardation. In Berhman RE, Vaughan VC, Nelson WE (Edn). *Nelson textbook of paediatrics*, 15th Edn. Philadelphia, WB Saunders, 1996; 431- 513.
5. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/37003>
6. Dubowitz LMS, Dubowitz D, Goldberg C. Clinical assessment of gestational age in the newborn infant. *J Paediatr* 1970;77:1-10.
7. James DK, Dryburgh EH, Chiswick ML. Foot length—a new and potentially useful measurement in the neonate. *Archives Dis Childhood* 1979; 54:226-30.
8. Bhatia BD, Tyagi NK. Birth weight: Relationship with other fetal anthropometric parameters. *Indian Pediatr* 1984; 21:833-8.
9. Mathur A, Tak SK, Kothari P. Foot length—a newer approach in neonatal anthropometry. *J Tropical Paediatr* 1984; 30:333-6.
10. Hern WM. Correlation of fetal age and measurements between 10 and 26 weeks of gestation. *Obstetr Gynecol* 1984; 63:26-32.
11. Bhargava SK, Ramji S, Kumar A, et al. Mid-arm and chest circumference at birth as predictors of low birth weight and neonatal mortality in the community. *Br Med J* 1985; 291:1617-9.
12. Daga SR, Daga AS, Patole S, et al. Foot length measurement from foot print for identifying a newborn at risk. *J Tropical Paediatr* 1988; 34:16-19.
13. Daga SR, Daga AS, Dighole RV, et al. Rural neonatal care: Dahanu experience. *Indian Pediatr* 1992; 29:189-93.
14. Daga SR, Daga AS, Dighole RV, et al. Anganwadi worker's participation in rural newborn care. *Indian J Paediatr* 1993; 60:627-30.
15. Mercer BM, Sklar S, Shariatmadar A, et al. Fetal foot length as a predictor of gestational age. *Am J Obstetr Gynecol* 1987; 156:350-5.
16. Goldstein I, Reece A, Hobbins JC. Sonographic appearance of the fetal heel ossification centers and foot length measurements provide independent markers for gestational age estimation. *Am J Obstetr Gynaecol* 1988; 159:923-7.
17. Sharma JN, Saxena S, Sharma U. Relationship between birth weight and other neonatal anthropometric parameters. *Indian Pediatr* 1988; 25:244-8.
18. Platt LD, Medearis AL, DeVore GR, et al. Fetal foot length: Relationship to menstrual age and fetal measurements in the second trimester. *Obstetr Gynaecol* 1988; 71:526-31.
19. Mhaskar R, Agarwal N, Takkar D, et al. Fetal foot length—a new parameter for assessment of gestational age. *Int J Gynaecol Obstetr* 1989; 29:35-8.

20. Madhulika, Kabra SK, Barar V, et al. Upper and lower limb standards in newborn. *Indian Pediatr* 1989; 26:667-70.
21. Dusitsin N, Chompootaweep S, Poomsuwan P, et al. Development and validation of a simple device to estimate birth weight and screen for low birth weight in developing countries. *Am J Public Health* 1991; 81:1201-5.
22. Huque F, Hussain AMZ. Detection of low birth weight newborn babies by anthropometric measurements in Bangladesh. *Indian J Paediatr* 1991; 58:223-31.
23. Ballard JL, Khoury JC, Wedig K, et al. New ballard score, expanded to include extremely premature infants. *J Paediatr* 1991; 119:417-23.
24. Neela J, Raman L, Balakrishna N, et al. Usefulness of calf circumference as a measure for screening low birth weight infants. *Indian Paediatr* 1991; 28:881-4.
25. Kustermann A, Zorzoli A, Spagnolo D, et al. Transvaginal sonography for fetal measurement in early pregnancy. *Br J Obstetr Gynaecol* 1992; 99:38-42.
26. Gohil JR, Sosi M, Vani SN, et al. Foot length measurement in the neonate. *Indian J Pediatr* 1991; 58:675-7.
27. Amato M, Huppi P, Claus R. Rapid biometric assessment of gestational age in very low birth weight infants. *J Perinatal Med* 1991; 19:367-71.