Journal of Research in Medical and Dental Science 2021, Volume 9, Issue 8, Page No: 57-59

Copyright CC BY-NC 4.0 Available Online at: www.jrmds.in eISSN No.2347-2367: pISSN No.2347-2545



Estimation of Refractive Errors-A Comparative Study of Auto Refraction and Streak Retinoscopy

Kondamadugula Bhargavi*

Department of Ophthalmology, Sree Balaji Medical College & Hospital Affiliated to Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

The mean spherical and Cylindrical error in right eye was 1.46 ± 0.94 and 0.83 ± 0.55 , with a minimum of 0.25 and 0.25 maximum of 0.55 and 0.75 as assessed by AR without dilatation. The mean spherical and Cylindrical error in Left eye was 0.17 ± 0.91 and 0.87 ± 0.58 with a minimum of 0.12 and 0.25 maximum of 0.00 and 0.00 and 0.00 as assessed by AR without dilatation. Simple Astigmatism was 0.00 and 0.00 a

Key words: Astigmatism, Dilation

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Kondamadugula Bhargavi, Estimation of Refractive Errors-A Comparative Study of Auto Refraction and Streak Retinoscopy, J Res Med Dent Sci, 2021, 9(8): 57-59

Corresponding author: Kondamadugula Bhargavi

e-mail ≅:editor.pubs@gmail.com

Received: 14/07/2021 Accepted: 02/08/2021

INTRODUCTION

As we already know that the most common anomalies of eyes are the myopia, astigmatism and myopia which are grouped as refractive errors [1-3]. The currently used technique for objective refraction is retinoscopy and auto refraction. This study aims at the estimation of refractive errors by comparative study between Auto refraction and Streak retinoscopy in adults between age group of 20 and 30 years.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

100 adult patients in an age group of 20-30 years presenting to the ophthalmology outpatient department at Sree Balaji medical college & hospital, Chennai with refractive errors were involved for the study. Auto refraction were performed using TOPCON RM 8800 auto refractometer. The average values were calculated by the machine. Retinoscopy was performed by keeler's self-illuminating streak retinoscopy.

RESULTS

Majority (46%) subjects belonged to up to 24-year age group, followed by 25 to 28-year age group (40%). The proportion of subjects in 29 years and above age group was 14% (Table 1). The proportion of subjects with

Snellen's visual acuity> 6/12 in right eye were 71 (71 %). The proportion of subjects with 6/18 to 6/24 were 25%, 6/36 to 6/60 were 4% respectively. The proportion subjects with Snellen's visual acuity >6/12 in Left eye were 79 (79%). The proportion of subjects with 6/18 to 6/24 were 16%, 6/36 to 6/60 were 5% respectively.

The mean spherical and Cylindrical error in right eye was 1.46 ± 0.94 and 0.83 ± 0.55 , with a minimum of 0.25 and 0.25 maximum of 5.50 and 3.75 as assessed by AR without dilatation. The mean spherical and Cylindrical error in Left eye was 1.17 ± 0.91 and 0.87 ± 0.58 with a minimum of 0.12 and 0.25 maximum of 5.00 and 3.75, as assessed by AR without dilatation (Table 2).

Correlation between AR, retinoscopy and PMT values (Right & left eye)

The degree of correlation as assessed by Intra class correlation coefficient was assessed for both AR (after dilatation) and retinoscopy, assuming PMT as the gold standard. Both the modalities of assessment have shown very high correlation with PMT findings. In right eye assessment of spherical power, the AR had an ICC of 0.938 (P value<0.001). For cylinder and axis the ICC values were 0.890 (P value<0.001) and 0.960 (P value<0.001) respectively. The corresponding values of retinoscopy were slightly higher for both sphere (0.982 (P value<0.001)) and cylinder (0.942 (P value<0.001)). But the ICC value for axis was slightly lower than that of AR (0.546, p value<0.001). In the left eye the ICC values of AR in assessment of sphere, cylinder and axis were 0.952,

0.947 and 0.774 respectively. All these ICC values were statistically significant (P value<0.001). The corresponding values for sphere and cylinder were higher for retinoscopy as compared to AR. But as right

eye, the ICC value for assessment of axis was slightly lower for retinoscopy than that of AR (0.695, p value <0.001) as shown in Table 3.

Table 1: Descriptive analysis of age group in study population (N=100).

| Age Group | Frequency | Percentages |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| Up to 24 | 46 | 46.00% |
| 25-28 | 40 | 40.00% |
| 29 and above | 14 | 14.00% |

Table 2: Mean spherical and cylindrical error in right and left eye.

| AR (Before Dilation) | Mean ± STD | Median | Min | Max | 95% C.I. for EXP(B) | |
|----------------------|----------------|--------|-----------|------|---------------------|--------|
| | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| | | | Right Eye | | | |
| Sphere (N=77) | 1.46 ± 0.94 | 1.25 | 0.25 | 5.5 | 1.24 | 1.67 |
| Cylinder (N=69) | 0.83 ± 0.55 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 3.75 | 0.7 | 0.97 |
| Axis (N=69) | 130.26 ± 54.27 | 150 | 10 | 180 | 117.22 | 143.3 |
| | | | Left EYE | | | |
| SPHERE(N=83) | 1.17 ± 0.91 | 1 | 0.12 | 5 | 0.98 | 1.37 |
| CYLINDER(N=76) | 0.87 ± 0.58 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 3.75 | 0.74 | 1.01 |
| AXIS(N=76) | 123.55 ± 60.83 | 147.5 | 10 | 180 | 109.65 | 137.45 |

Table 3: Retinoscopy.

| Visual parameter | AR (After dilatation) with PMT | | Retinoscopy (After dilatation) with PMT | P value |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|---|---------|
| | Intra class correlation coefficient | P value | Intra class correlation coefficient | |
| | | Right eye | | |
| Sphere | 0.938 | <0.001 | 0.982 | <0.001 |
| Cylinder | 0.89 | <0.001 | 0.942 | <0.001 |
| Axis | 0.969 | <0.001 | 0.546 | <0.001 |
| | | Left eye | | |
| Sphere | 0.952 | <0.001 | 0.974 | <0.001 |
| Cylinder | 0.947 | <0.001 | 0.94 | <0.001 |
| Axis | 0.774 | <0.001 | 0.695 | <0.001 |

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this study for sphere there is higher agreement between retinoscopy and subjective refraction for the spherical component. There was no statistically significant difference for cylindrical powers in similarity with the study done by Jorge et al. [4-10] the study for axis shows suggests that the autorefractometer gives more accurate axis. Hence in this study, refractive errors obtained by subjective refraction and retinoscopy on adults were compared and the results showed that retinoscopy yields reliable and valid measurements of

refraction. But AR estimates the axis of astigmatism more precisely than retinoscopy.

REFERENCES

- Abrams D. Duke Elders's Practice of refraction 10t edition. New Delhi, B.I.Churchill Livingstone1993; 155.
- David Guyton. Automated clinical refraction, chapter 67 in Duane's clinical opthamology, Lippincott-Raven, revised edition- 1987; 1:53.

- 3. Liang YB, Wong Ty, Sun LP, et al. Refractive errors in rural chines adult population, the handen eye study. Opthalmol 2009; 116:2119-27.
- 4. Jorge J, Queiros A, Almeida JB, et al. Retinoscopy/ autorefraction: Which is the best starting point for a noncycloplegic refraction?. Optometry Vision Sci 2005; 82:64-8.
- 5. Barbero S, Marcos S, Merayo-Lloves J, et al. Validation of the estimation of corneal aberrations from videokeratography in keratoconus. J Refract Surg 2002; 18:263-270.
- 6. He JC, Sun P, Held R, et al. Wavefront aberrations in eyes of emmetropic and moderately myopic school

- children and young adults. Vision Res 2002; 42:1063-70.
- 7. Paquin MP, Hamam H, Simonet P. Objective measurement of optical aberrations in myopic eyes. Optom Vis Sci 2002; 79:285-91.
- 8. Joubert L, Harris WF. Excess of autorefraction over subjective refraction: dependence on age. Optom Vis Sci 1997; 74:439-44.
- 9. Bullimore MA, Fusaro RE, Adams CW. The repeatability of automated and clinician refraction. Optom Vis Sci 1998; 75:617-26.
- 10. Fredrick DR. Myopia. BMJ 2002; 324:1195-1199.