Incidence of Wound Infection after Open Reduction and Internal Fixation of Fracture of Angle of Mandible
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ABSTRACT

The objective of the study is to report the complication, wound infection at operative site associated with mandibular angle fracture after open reduction and internal fixation and incidence of their occurrence. A total of 86000 patient’s data between June 2019 and March 2020 were collected and analysed from patient records, out of which a total of 19 cases of mandibular angle fracture were identified and included in the present study. All these were checked for postoperative wound infection at the operative site after open reduction and internal fixation of the fracture segments. Results of this study shows that there were only 2 cases (10.5%) out of 19 which showed postoperative wound infection after open reduction and internal fixation. In this study it is observed that there was significant male predilection for mandibular angle fractures of and 10% of the cases shows postoperative infections after open reduction and internal fixation of mandible angle. Within the limitation of the study, there was significant male predilection and 10% incidence in infection post-operatively.
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INTRODUCTION

Mandibular fractures account for most maxillofacial traumatic injuries [1-3]. A particular interest is given to mandibular fractures pertaining to the diversity of locations, severity of fractures and the availability of different treatment modalities [4]. Mandibular angle fractures are some of the most common maxillofacial injuries and are associated with the highest complications rates of all mandible fractures [5]. These fractures are frequently associated with facial lacerations, cervical spine injuries, orthopedic injuries, neurologic injury, as well as thoracic and/or abdominal injuries [6].

The mandibular angle fracture consists of fracture line that begins where the anterior border of the mandible ramus meets the body of mandible, extending inferiorly through the inferior border or posteriorly towards the gonial angle [7]. Management of such fractures is rendered difficult because of the complex biomechanics of the mandibular angle, such as the attachment of the masticatory muscles exerting their forces in different vectors, having thin cross sectional area; abrupt change in curvature, and presence of third molars [8].

Several factors may influence the perception of pain as it is a complex process. Dental pain needs to be considered more often for a possible diagnosis in the orofacial region [9-12]. Infection of jaw fractures represents the most encountered postoperative complications, and the mandibular fractures are reported to be associated with the highest rate of infections among the maxillofacial fractures. This may be attributed to its increased cortical structure and its location in a contaminated environment [13,14].

The post-operative infection is perhaps difficult to determine whether it arises from the injury itself or from the treatment. The incidence
of postoperative infection encountered with mandibular fractures varies widely among studies and ranges from 0% to 25% [15]. Such discrepancy suggests the involvement of multiple contributing variables or risk factors. This article is aimed to present and highlight the incidence of infected mandibular angle fractures post operatively after open reduction and internal fixation based on the reports from the databases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case records of a total of 86000 patients between June 2019 and March 2020 were collected and analysed from patient records, out of which a total of 19 cases of mandibular angle fracture were identified and included in the present study. Out of all these 18 cases were operated/treated with open reduction and internal fixation whereas one case was treated with closed reduction. All the required data were transferred to SPSS software and statistically analysed to calculate the incidence of the post-operative infection after open reduction and internal fixation.

All the necessary data were collected such as patient details, radiography, operative notes.

Inclusion criteria
Patients with angle fracture of mandible.

Exclusion criteria
Patients treated with open reduction and internal fixation.
Patients treated with closed reduction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results showed that there were 19 patients who were treated for angle fracture of mandible, out of which 18 cases were treated by open reduction and internal fixation and one by closed reduction. Results showed that the mean age at which angle fracture of mandible reported was 38± 11 years with a male predilection of 94.7%. Out of 18, 10 cases were treated with stainless steel plates, 7 cases with titanium mini plates, and one is fixed using stainless steel wiring. Postoperative infection near the operated site was seen with 10% of cases (Figures 1 and 2).

Dentistry comprises practices related to oral cavity. Oral diseases are a major problem among the general population and there are various procedures carried out to prevent and treat them. Oral health has a direct impact on general health patterns as it helps to talk, eat, and feel...
confident [16]. The postoperative infection is perhaps difficult to determine whether it arises from the injury itself or from the treatment. The incidence of postoperative infection encountered with mandibular fractures varies among studies and such discrepancy suggests the involvement of multiple contributing risk factors [17].

**Risk factors**

**Trauma related factor**

Contamination, gross displacement, and compound fractures are all factors that can contribute to the development of infections in mandibular fractures. In a study by Ellis et al. shows fractures having 2 to 4 fragments encountered with infection suggesting association between severity of trauma and infection.

Severe traumatic injuries such as gunshot wounds are often associated with increased bone fragmentation and soft tissue disruption which could be easily linked to wound contamination and subsequent infection. Tranexamic acid has been shown to be an effective method of reducing blood loss during surgical procedures [18].

**Patient related factors**

Virulence of organisms and host resistance are important patient related factors linked to development of infection. Aging is also suggested to be a potential risk factor for postoperative infection.

The immature immune system of children may contribute to decreased resistance to infection in pediatric trauma. Aging is usually associated with systemic diseases and both can contribute to increased risk of infection. Also, cancer cells exhibit a wide range of genetic alterations that include gene rearrangements, point mutations, and gene amplifications, leading to disturbances in molecular pathways modifying cell growth, survival, and metastasis [19,20].

Also, most patients are not aware of the complications of surgery [20,21]. Substance abuse such as smoking, alcohol and drugs has been linked to increased postoperative complication rate. The function of cellular and humoral immune system is affected by smoking and reportedly retard bone healing, adversely affect bone mineral density and even increase the risk of osteomyelitis.

**Time between fracture and treatment**

Early treatment after trauma is said to be associated with fewer rates of postoperative infections. Delayed treatment is said to be accompanied with increased risk of infection. And most of the human pathogens have been isolated from oral secretions [22].
Tooth in line of fracture
Although teeth in the line of fracture may interfere with reduction and/or occlusion, the greatest concern is usually directed towards the possibility of inducing infection. Even with clinically sound teeth, contamination is a possibility through the periodontal ligament, which renders all fractures in the tooth bearing area open or compound [23]. It can be recommended that the choice of investigation can depend on the size of the lesion [24].

Yet, removal of erupted or partially bony impacted teeth during treatment of mandibular fractures was to contribute to wound dehiscence even when care is taken to minimize tension during flap closure [25]. Therefore, ideal handling of teeth in fracture lines has always been a controversial issue.

Rigidity of fixation
While fracture instability is known to retard bone healing through interfering with proliferation of capillaries across the gap, the relationship between rigidity of fixation and infection remains less defined. However, inadequate stability and interfragmentary mobility is reported to be associated with greater tendency of infection [26]. Surgical alterations in the position of the bony facial skeleton will inevitably affect the soft tissues [27].

The question of postoperative infection has been long debated and represents a major complication of mandibular angle fractures. The differences in rates of infection among various studies can possibly be attributed to inherent differences in patient population being studied, variations in socioeconomic status, differences in tobacco and alcohol use or abuse and levels of nutritional status, the teeth on line of fractures can complicate management [26]. It is important for dentists to improve their knowledge to enable diagnosis and management of patients to have a more positive attitude toward these patients [28-30].

CONCLUSION
In the present retrospective study, it was seen that different factors were associated with increased levels of postoperative infection. This study had inherent drawbacks. Particularly use of retrospective study design. Within limitations of the study, there is significant male predilection and incidence of 10% for postoperative wound infection in angle fracture cases treated with open reduction and internal fixation. To further investigate this topic, a large postoperative clinical trial would be ideal. It is important for dentists to improve their knowledge to enable diagnosis and management of patients to have a more positive attitude toward these patients.
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