

Misconceptions Regarding COVID-19 Pandemic and COVID Vaccines

Sonal Yadav*, Abhishek Ingole

Department of Community Medicine, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences (Deemed to be University), Wardha, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

The current Corona disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is one of the most serious humanitarian crises and scientists are working together to develop a COVID vaccine that is both safe and effective. The WHO considers vaccine apprehension to be the society's most significant communal health hazard, especially in less income countries. Vaccination anxiety can be caused by a lack of knowledge, wrong holy faiths and vaccine hesitancy advertisement. Vaccination hesitancy attitude is at all-time high, which might have dreadful implications. This research discusses the impact of misunderstandings and theories of conspiracy concerning corona and the vaccine on COVID-19 vaccination refusals. While effective vaccines for the corona virus have been developed, public vaccination reluctance may potentially hamper attempts to battle the pandemic. Individuals' desire to get a Corona vaccination was considerably boosted by higher levels of vaccine effectiveness. The vaccine maker had no impact on the public's readiness to be vaccinated. We also found no indication that believing in information regarding Corona therapies was related to vaccination effectiveness. The purpose of this experimentation is to look at how information about vaccine attributes such as effectiveness, side effects rates, the nature of the governmental approval process, the identity of the manufacturers and policy interventions such as economic incentives affect vaccination intentions, as well as the relationship between belief in an important category of misconception wrong assertions about COVID treatments and willingness to vaccinate.

Key words: Coronavirus, Misconceptions, Pandemic, Myths, Policy interventions

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Sonal Yadav, Abhishek Ingole, Misconceptions Regarding COVID-19 Pandemic and COVID Vaccines, J Res Med Dent Sci, 2022, 10 (12): 000-000.

Corresponding author: Dr. Sonal Yadav

E-mail: ysonal890@gmail.com

Received: 30-Sep-2022, Manuscript No. JRMDS-22-77338;

Editor assigned: 03-Oct-2022, PreQC No. JRMDS-22-77338(PQ);

Reviewed: 17-Oct-2022, QC No. JRMDS-22-77338;

Revised: 19-Dec-2022, Manuscript No. JRMDS-22-77338 (R);

Published: 28-Dec-2022

INTRODUCTION

Since the outbreak of the 2019 novel Corona (2019-n Co-V) infection in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 [1]. The virus has spread swiftly throughout China and several other countries [2-9]. 2019-n Co V has infected about 43,000 people in 28 countries/regions, providing a significant global health risk. WHO named the pandemic illness caused by 2019-n Co-V Corona disease on February 11, 2020 (COVID-19)? The efficacy of immunizations like as spike ax and Pfizer biotech, which are over 90% effective, was equally as astonishing as the pace of development. Vaccine hesitancy, despite increasing availability and effectiveness, remains a possible hurdle to widespread population acceptance. While recent polls suggest that general vaccination acceptance in the United States is likely to be around 70%, the instance of Israel may serve as a cautionary lesson in terms of self-reported preferences and vaccinations already in use. The administration, which immediately boosted immunization

efforts with incentive schemes, blamed the sudden opposition on social media gossip.

Research on unwillingness of taking vaccine in the context of viral diseases reveals that wrong information about vaccine is common. However, according to a new study on Corona vaccine choices, vaccine characteristics are the most important determinants of vaccination attitudes. Higher effectiveness is connected with a higher chance of vaccination, but a food and drug administration or political approval timeline is associated with increased disinclination. It has not been explored if wrong information about Corona subscribes to vaccination choices, or either these strategy actions have a bigger effect. Furthermore, whereas prior studies focused on a set of characteristics that were significant at a specific duration, the data and circumstances around the accessible vaccinations have sustained to change in ways.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Vaccine acceptance and reluctance factors

Trust in immunization, its security, belief in the organisation that provides vaccines, health challenges, fear of harmful consequences and the lack of a healthcare

practitioner guidance all influence vaccine approval and hesitation [10].

Attitude related to the advantages and validity of vaccinations, intuition about the motivations behind them, the impact of family, particularly parents' attitudes and conversations with friends on vaccination decisions all affect vaccine approval and unwillingness of taking it. According to an Indonesian study, 15% of overall respondents were concerned about the vaccine's safety and effectiveness and hence rejected kid vaccination [11]. Different social, cultural and political perspectives also play an essential part in vaccine decision making.

Vaccination and social media

Despite substantial evidence of vaccine rampart and effectiveness, the media has played an important part in propagating vaccination anxieties [12]. Different sorts of vaccination data provided through media have a huge impact on vaccine hesitation [13]. Vaccination safety debates, which are widely promoted in news headings, talk shows and popular articles [14]. Contribute to community vaccination hesitancy and anti-vaccine attitudes [15]. Vaccination hesitancy advertisement on the internet has extensively spreading misunderstandings, misbeliefs and wrong views about vaccinations, which have a negative influence on children.

Faith and influence of wrong beliefs

Refusal of vaccines is frequently linked to moral beliefs about health and immunity that favour natural over artificial treatments [16]. In 2011, anti-vaccine propaganda became more aggressive, with accusations that vaccines are a Western plot to defumigate Muslim girls and that vaccine include porcine gelatin, which is haram and hence forbidden in Islam [17]. Some immunizations are also created with PERTs, which some Islamic scholars find in sufferable especially when it comes to the haram idea [18]. Another incorrect fact that has become intolerable to some scholars is the failure to manufacture vaccines, particularly viral based vaccines that need virus culture in specialized cells in our body. Vaccination, on the other hand, does not violate religion because it preserves health and communal responsibility and virtually every major religious institution has opposed such application of their faith [19].

Discussions about overburdening a paediatric immunization by administering many immunizations at the same time are out of the question in many underdeveloped places. In reality, consolidation immunizations imply lesser injections, which is a good start toward reducing parental concern and kid inconvenience [20]. Furthermore, ambiguous information about vaccination safety and the process of vaccine licensing creates huge issues for public health professionals, politicians and patients.

MISCONCEPTIONS

Myth 1: Natural immunity outshines vaccine immunity

Immunizations allow your body build immunity while also shielding you from the unpleasant side effects that infections can induce. Corona has the potential to cause serious side effects, including death. There is no specific treatment for Corona.

Myth 2: My immune system is strong and will be able to regulate the disease without fear

COVID immunizations protect against sickness by inducing an immune response to the corona virus. Vaccination can give protection, lessening the chances of getting the illness and suffering from its implications. If the virus infects you, this immunity will help you fight it. Resistance to sickness and disease makes you less likely to infect others, thus getting immunised may help protect others around you. This is particularly important for people who are more vulnerable to COVID related severe disease, such as health professionals, the elderly and those who have other medical issues

Myth 3: The vaccine was produced too fast without adequate research and it is dangerous

All Corona vaccines are properly tested to guarantee their safety. Before getting WHO and national regulatory agency approval, Corona vaccines must be carefully examined in clinical studies. This is done to verify they meet globally recognized safety and effectiveness standards. As a consequence of extraordinary scientific teamwork, Corona vaccine experimentation, development and approvals were finalized in record speed, meeting the grave need for Corona vaccines while maintaining maximum safety majors. World health organisation and regulatory agencies will continue to check the use of Corona vaccines, as they do with other vaccinations, to ensure that everyone who receives them is safe.

Myth 4: You do not need to be vaccinated if you have COVID-19

Even if you have had corona earlier, the WHO recommends that you should be vaccinated. The degree of Corona protection varies from person to person, and it is unsure how long natural immunity will last. Corona vaccine is still indicated for people who have already been infected with Corona.

Myth 5: Vaccines have harmful components that may be detrimental

While the ingredients on vaccination labels may seem worrisome, they are naturally found in the body, our diet, and the world around us, such as in tuna. Vaccines contain only trace amounts of certain substances. To ensure vaccination safety and efficacy, vaccines are also studied and subjected to rigorous scientific testing, as

well as certification processes with the WHO and national regulatory agencies.

Myth 6: Coronavirus can be implemented by COVID-19 vaccines

COVID immunization does not result in COVID viral infection. Corona is caused by a virus that human immune systems are designed to recognize and eradicate. Fever is one of the possible side effects of this procedure. COVID resistance symptoms include fever, fatigue, headache, muscle discomfort, chills and diarrhoea. After immunization, immunity protection against the virus that causes Corona takes a few weeks to develop. That is, a person might become unwell despite being infected with the Corona virus immediately before or after immunization. Due to timing restrictions, the vaccination was unable to offer enough protection. Corona testing should be undertaken if symptoms persist and are not caused by Corona immunization adverse effects.

Myth 7: The vaccine named Astra Zeneca is very risky

The World Health Organization's global advisory committee on vaccine safety found that the Astra Zeneca Corona vaccine is effective in decreasing serious COVID infection side effects, which can lead to hospitalisation and demise. The most common side effects of vaccination range from gentle to severe and usually go away after some days. Although the most serious side effects are uncommon, low platelet levels can result in blood clots. According to current UK data, there are approximately four major adverse effects per mass population who receive the vaccine. According to this committee the benefits of vaccination far outweigh the risks.

Myth 8: COVID-19 vaccines can impair fertility

Whether you are now trying to conceive or will be in the future, the WHO recommends that you get a COVID immunization as soon as it is available. There is currently no evidence that the COVID immunization impacts pregnancy related concerns, particularly placental development. Furthermore, there is no evidence that any immunization, including the COVID vaccine, causes fertility problems. COVID injections, like other vaccinations, are being and will continue to be studied for adverse effects for many years. Vaccine security (WHO) of course, you should always get medical advice before making any of these decisions.

Myth 9: The side effects of vaccine are extremely harmful

According to the WHO, Corona vaccinations, like any other vaccine, may cause minor adverse effects such as a mild fever, pain, or reddish colouration at the injection site.

The vast majority of immunization reaction are mild and resolve on their own within a few days. Vaccines can have serious or long term side effects, but this is unusual. Local governments are always evaluating vaccination side effects for exceptionally poor outcomes. The majority of COVID vaccine side effects were mild to moderate in severity and lasted only a few minutes.

Fever, weariness, headache, muscle discomfort, chills, diarrhoea and soreness at the injection site are some of the symptoms. Depending on the Corona vaccine, the likelihood of having any of these side effects after inoculation varies.

Myth 10: I can stop taking safety measures after vaccination and return to my old life

The pre Corona virus vaccine saves you against becoming extremely sick and moribund. You have little protection for the first fourteen days after receiving a vaccine. Immunity to a single dose vaccination develops two weeks after immunization. When it comes to two dose vaccines, both doses are required for the maximum level of protection. While a Corona virus immunization can save you from severe sickness and death, it is unknown how successfully it can keep you away from becoming ill and spreading the virus to others, even though preliminary research suggests it can. Keep a 1-2 meter distance between yourself and others and bury your stuff to help keep people safe.

Myth 11: Corona virus vaccine can affect fertility of women

People think that Corona vaccine can affect productivity of women but in real Corona vaccine stimulate the body to create copies of the virus's surface proteins. This guides our defence system to fight the virus that has that specific spike protein on it.

Confusion erupted when a fabricated story circulated on social media claiming that the spike protein on this Corona virus was the same as another spike protein called syncytin-1, which is involved in the development and attachment of the placenta during pregnancy.

According to the false claim, a woman's body would fight this specific spike protein after receiving the Corona virus vaccine, damaging her pregnancy. The two spike proteins are completely distinct and unique and the Corona virus immunisation has no effect on the female's fertility who is trying to conceive, including through *in vitro* fertilization.

DISCUSSION

Immunization has played a crucial role in lowering the burden of infectious illnesses in recent years. Participants' perceptions of the relevance of Corona virus infection were unaffected by previous Corona virus infection. The severity levels allotted by those who were not afflicted, those who felt they were ill, and those who were afflicted did not differ significantly. Those who were infected reported a high degree of adherence to quarantine, which contrasts previous study [21,22]. This found low adherence to quarantine throughout numerous pandemics. Those who were certain of their infection, on the other hand, were more willing to adhere to the quarantine than those who were only concerned about being infected. This emphasizes the significance of Corona virus testing in reducing disease transmission by enhancing afflicted people's adherence to isolation.

Vaccination intentions: Regardless of the fact that this poll was done in 2020, when the count of Corona virus cases in Jordan was increasing quickly, only some of the applicants planned to use the vaccine once it became available. Although the exact number of people who must be immunized to obtain herd immunity against Corona virus is unknown, 50–90% [23] of the population must be immune, either spontaneously or by vaccination.

Barriers: Identifying the causes of vaccine rejection or reluctance may aid in increasing general population immunization intentions. To overcome these obstacles, we must first increase a better understanding of the rationales and reasons for vaccine rejection or reluctance.

The most common reason for participants' hesitancy or refusal was vaccination concerns. Vaccination safety and side effects are a global issue, according to research undertaken in the United States, Europe, and China. Several vaccine candidate studies have been terminated [24]. Due to reported side effects, therefore the rationale underlying these concerns is sound. So people were scared of taking vaccines due to the hazardous side effects. However, once negative effects were detected, the tests were discontinued, which could be used to satisfy the harshness of vaccine experimentation in the future [25-30].

One of the advantages of this experimentation is its big sample size, which reduces the impact of existing bias. This study also examined the knowledge of participants, attitude and practices toward Corona virus as well as their vaccination intentions, as well as the link between them.

The vaccination was not available at the time of the trial. Individuals' vaccination intentions may have modified now that the vaccine is accessible [31-40]. More information is now accessible, which may be seen as a research constraint. The results of this study are sensitive to memory and selection biases because it was based on an online poll. Previous research has shown, however, that network based research is a profitable method of creating a sample.

CONCLUSION

All these social, cultural and political settings play a part in acceptance and rejection decisions of vaccine. Anti-vaccine arguments over vaccine safety are widely spread on social media channels, increasing vaccination reluctance among community members. Anti-vaccine sentiments in the community as a result of disinformation might jeopardize the Corona virus immunization campaign. As a result, efforts to dispel misconceptions and conspiracy theories concerning Corona virus and immunizations including diverse components are needed to raise acceptability of the Corona virus vaccine when it becomes accessible in the near future. It is troubling that there have been few research concentrating on vaccine reluctance rates and associated variables influencing immunization rates among persons with mental health issues. Mental health

professionals play an important role in improving acceptance of vaccine. It is critical to install trust in vaccinations among those suffering from mental illnesses in order to achieve the objective of combating this worldwide pandemic. So research is required in future for these knowledge gaps. This hesitancy was attributed to concerns about vaccine safety as well as expense. So for this, health officials should plan conciliations such as communal attention movements using various digital forms to disseminate more clear information about the vaccines' safety and efficacy through health care providers, who have been identified as the society's most trusted source of information about Corona virus vaccines. Communal attention campaigns should emphasise the unique technology used in the production of a few of the Corona virus vaccines to increase their adoption. The government's distribution of free immunization or with a reduced price can also help to boost immunisation rates among the general public. People's willingness to accept vaccination is significantly influenced by their understanding of the procedure. There is a need for policies to address public acceptance, trust and anxiety about the safety and efficacy of licensed vaccinations. It is critical to consider the local environment while creating vaccine uptake initiatives. Governments throughout the world must also deliberate in order to build measures to address the community's worry and vaccination related worries about vaccine loath. More study is needed to examine solutions to alleviate vaccination reluctance in LMICs.

REFERENCES

1. Lu H, WStratton C, Tang YW. Outbreak of pneumonia of unknown ethology in Wuhan China: The mystery and the miracle. *J Med Virol* 2020; 92:401-402.
2. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel Coronavirus infected pneumonia. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382:1199-1207.
3. Gorbalenya AE, Baker SC, Baric RS, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome related Coronavirus: The species and its viruses a statement of the Coronavirus study group. *BioRxiv* 2020.
4. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel Coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: A descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020; 395:507–513.
5. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel Coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020; 395:497–506.
6. Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, et al. A novel Coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. *Lancet* 2020; 395:470–473.
7. Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, et al. First case of 2019 novel Coronavirus in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382:929-936.

8. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel Coronavirus infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 2020; 323:1061-1069.
9. Chang D, Lin M, Wei L, et al. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of novel Coronavirus infections involving 13 patients outside Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 2020; 323:1092-1093.
10. Pananos AD, Bury TM, Wang C, et al. Critical dynamics in population vaccinating behaviour. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2017; 114: 13762-13767.
11. Yufika, Wagner AL, Nawawi Y, et al. Parents hesitancy towards vaccination in Indonesia: A cross sectional study in Indonesia. 2020; 2592-2599.
12. Smith A, Yarwood J, Salisbury DM. Tracking mothers attitudes to MMR immunisation 1996–2006. *Vaccine* 2007; 3996-4002.
13. Larson HJ, Cooper LZ, Eskola J, et al. Addressing the vaccine confidence gap. *Lancet* 2011; 378:526-535.
14. Gangarosa EJ, Galazka AM, Wolfe CR, et al. Impact of anti-vaccine movements on pertussis control: The untold story. *Lancet* 1998; 351:356-361.
15. Zimmerman RK, Wolfe RM, Fox DE, et al. Vaccine criticism on the World Wide Web. *J Med Internet Res* 2005; 7:e369.
16. Streefland PH. Public doubts about vaccination safety and resistance against vaccination. *Health Policy* 2001; 55:159-172.
17. Martinez Bravo M, Stegmann A. CEMFI Working Paper, In vaccines we trust? The effects of the CIA's vaccine ruse on immunization in Pakistan. 2018.
18. Abdullah AB. Halal vaccine and the ethical dimension of vaccination programmes. *ICR J* 2014; 274:1-4.
19. Grabenstein JD. What the world's religions teach, applied to vaccines and immune globulins. *Vaccine* 2013; 31:2011-2023.
20. Offit PA, Quarles J, Gerber MA, et al. Addressing parents' concerns: Do multiple vaccines overwhelm or weaken the infant's immune system? *Paediatrics* 2002; 109:124-129.
21. Hsu CC, Chen T, Chang M, et al. Confidence in controlling a SARS outbreak: Experiences of public health nurses in managing home quarantine measures in Taiwan. *Am J Infect Control* 2006; 34:176–181.
22. Reynolds DL, Garay JR, Deamond SL, et al. Understanding, compliance and psychological impact of the SARS quarantine experience. *Epidemiol Infect* 2008; 136:997–1007.
23. D'Souza G, Dowdy D. What is herd immunity and how can we achieve it with COVID-19? Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of public health. 2020.
24. Meredith S. Astrazeneca: Our Coronavirus vaccine triggers immune response among adults. 2020.
25. Parsons L. Johnson and Johnson COVID-19 vaccine trial paused on safety concerns. *Pharma Times*. 2020.
26. Acharya S, Shukla S, Acharya N. Gospels of a pandemic a metaphysical commentary on the current COVID-19 crisis. *J Clin Diagnostic Res* 2020; 14:OA01–OA02.
27. Arora D, Sharma M, Acharya S, et al. India in “flattening the curve” of COVID-19 pandemic triumphs and challenges thereof. *J Evol Med Dent Sci* 2020; 9:3252–3255.
28. Bawiskar N, Anhdale A, Hulkoti V, et al. Haematological manifestations of COVID-19 and emerging immuno haematological therapeutic strategies. *J Evol Med Dent Sci* 2020; 9:3489–3494.
29. Burhani TS, Naqvi WM. Telehealth-A boon in the time of COVID-19 outbreak. *J Evol Med Dent Sci* 2020; 9:2081-2084.
30. Butola LK, Ambad R, Kute PK, et al. The pandemic of 21st century COVID-19. *J Evol Med Dent Sci* 2020; 9:2913–2918.
31. Dhok A, Butola LK, Anjankar A, et al. Role of vitamins and minerals in improving immunity during COVID-19 pandemic-A review. *J Evol Med Dent Sci* 2020; 9:2296–2300.
32. Gawai JP, Singh S, Taksande VD, et al. Critical review on impact of COVID-19 and mental health. *J Evol Med Dent Sci* 2020; 9:2158–2163.
33. Khubchandani SR, Dahane TM. Emerging therapeutic options for COVID-19. *J Evol Med Dent Sci* 2020; 9:3082–3085.
34. Kolhe S, Dambhare M, Dhankasar P, et al. Home remedies during COVID pandemic lockdown. *J Med Dent Sci* 2020; 8:103–107.
35. Pate BS, Yeola ME, Gawande A, et al. Best practices for endoscopic procedures in COVID-19 pandemic. *J Evol Med Dent Sci* 2020; 9:3760–3766.
36. Patel A, Patel S, Fulzele P, et al. Quarantine an effective mode for control of the spread of COVID-19? A review. *Fam Med Prim Care Rev* 2020; 9:3867–3871.
37. Singh N, Anjankar AP, Garima S. The urgent need to understand COVID-19 associated coagulopathies and the significance of thrombotic prophylaxis in critically ill patients. *J Evol Med Dent Sci* 2020; 9:2381–2385.
38. Spoorthy MS, Pratapa SK, Mahant S. Mental health problems faced by healthcare workers due to the COVID-19 pandemic-A review. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry* 2020; 51.
39. Jakhar D, Sharma A, Kaur I. Indian dermatologists wield technology to combat COVID-19 Indian. *Dermatol Online J* 2020; 11:991–994.
40. Kute V, Guleria S, Prakash J, et al. NOTTO transplant specific guidelines with reference to COVID-19. *Indian J Nephrol* 2020; 30:215–220.