Journal of Research in Medical and Dental Science 2021, Volume 9, Issue 9, Page No: 492-497

Copyright CC BY-NC 4.0 Available Online at: www.jrmds.in eISSN No.2347-2367: pISSN No.2347-2545



Urea Reduction Ratio as an Indicator of Dialysis Adequacy in Hemodialysis Patients

Merlin vincy, S.V Mythili*

Department of Biochemistry, Sree Balaji Medical College & Hospital Affiliated to Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

To compare the adequacy of hemodialysis by Urea Reduction Ratio in chronic kidney disease patients undergoing twice weekly hemodialysis with those undergoing thrice weekly dialysis. To compare the same in patients with comorbid conditions like cardiac failure, Hepatitis C Virus infection, hyponutrition, Diabetes & Hypertension. To analyse the influence of inter dialytic weight gain on IJRR in the same groups. Urea Reduction Ratio is adequate in thrice weekly hemodialysis patients when compared to twice weekly group. In patients with both diabetes and hypertension, URR was less than 65% in both thrice weekly & twice weekly dialysis patients. Hence patients with both diabetes and hypertension need better control and regular thrice weekly dialysis with longer duration. In patients with Hepatitis C Virus infection, even thrice weekly dialysis could not give adequate URR.

Key words: Hypertension, Diabetes, Dialysis, Hyponutrition

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Merlin vincy, S.V Mythili Urea Reduction Ratio as an Indicator of Dialysis Adequacy in Hemodialysis Patients, J Res Med Dent Sci, 2021, 9(9): 492-497

Corresponding author: S.V Mythili **e-mail :** :mythili.sv@bharathuniv.ac.in

Received: 10/08/2021 Accepted: 25/08/2021

INTRODUCTION

Excretory function of kidney is affected in chronic kidney disease causing accumulation of most waste products produced in the body. One of the waste products easily measured is urea. Urea is mildly toxic, but high levels of urea indicate accumulation of more toxic waste products in blood and body that cannot be easily measured. These waste products can be removed from blood in kidney failure patients through dialysis. Diaiysis has to be done frequently as waste products of metabolism keeps on forming continuously in the body. The adequacy of dialysis is measured periodically- usually once a month, by collecting blood at the beginning and at the end of dialysis. The levels of urea in both samples are compared. It is assessed by Urea Reduction Ratio. Urea reduction ratio is reduction in blood urea as a result of dialysis. This measures how effectively dialysis treatment removes waste products from the body.

Even though no fixed percentage can be said to represent adequate dialysis, patient has less complication, fewer hospitalisation & live longer if URR is at least 60%, so experts recommend a minimum URRof65%. URR is measured after every 12 to 14 cycles of dialysis, which comes to once a month as most of the patients undergo dialysis twice or thrice a week. URR is percentage of reduction from the predialysis urea level. Average should

exceed 65%. Urea reduction ratio (URR) 1s used because the relative decrease in urea concentration during dialysis is the most significant determinant of fractional urea clearance represented by Kt/V, where K is the dialyzer urea cleara ce (expressed in liters per hour), t is time on dialysis (expressed in hours), and Vis the volume of distribution of urea (expressed in liters). So direct measurement of URR has been proposed a simpler substitute for more complex equations to calculate dialysis dose.

This study compares the URR of renal failure patients undergoing hemodialysis twice a week versus hemodialysis done thrice a week. The influence of hyponutrition (as evidenced by concentration of albumin), and interdialytic weight gain over URR in both groups is also analysed. Other comorbid factors like hypertension, Diabetes mellitus, cardiac dysfunction, & hepatitis C virus infection were also taken for companson.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Dried 50 Renal failure patients undergoing hemodialysis m Nephrology unit in Sree Balaji Medical College and Hospital were included in the study with age ranging from 15 to 75 years. Informed consent was obtained from the patients to do the study. jThe patients were divided into two groups depending on the number of dialysis session per week as twice weekly or thrice weekly. Urea, Creatinine, Albumin, blood glucose was taken in the Pre dialysis blood sample. Half an hour after dialysis was completed, blood- was taken and urea was repeated in the

patients. Inter dialytic weight gain was taken in all the patients. (The weight is taken after a dialysis session. Weight is again taken before the next dialysis and difference between the two is interdialytic weight gain). It was divided into three groups. (weight gain less than 2Kg, 2- 4Kg and more than 4Kg.) Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Profoma with detailed history was taken.

Inclusion criteria

Associated Diabetes Mellitus, Hypertension were included. Cardiac Failure was classified as mild, moderate & severe as per the echocardiogram done. Serum Albumin less than 3.5gm/dl was included for hyponutrition. Hepatitis C Virus infection were also included.

Exclusion criteria

Chronic Kidney Disease patients with acute complications were excluded from the study. Patients who had started dialysis recently (less than three months) were also excluded. Urea Reduction Ratio was calculated & compared between the twice weekly dialysis & thrice weekly dialysis groups. Advantage of this ratio is that it can be done in hospitals in any area. There is no need for any costly equipments. It is cost effective, so all patients can afford it to know the adequacy of treatment.

Method of assay

In 1993, Marshall devised a method for estimation of urea consisting of hydrolysis by urease, followed by

titrimetic estimation of ammonia. A gasometric method was established by Van Slyke in 1914 on a similar principle of hydrolysis of urea. Colorimetric estimation of ammonia was made possible by Nessler's reagent. But, this method involved deproteinization and posed turbidity problems at higher levels of concentrations. Subsequently, coupling of urease method with Berthlot reaction eliminated these problems and increased sensitivity may folds, earlier versions of Urea-Berthelot reaction used four reagents. In 1962, Chaney and Marbach modified the method by combining reagents to make it a three reagent system and simplified the technique. Use of sodium salicylate instead of phenol and the use of sodium nitroprusside as an accelerator has improved performance of the reagent system making it a two reagent systems.

RESULTS

A Results were analysed by using SPSS 15 software.

Total number of patients were 50 of which there was 30 males and 20 females. Twice weekly dialysis group comprised of 28 patients. Thrice weekly dialysis group comprised of 22 patients. Overall 70% of patients had URR less than 65%. When Urea Reduction Ratio was compared between twice weekly & thrice weekly group, it was statistically significant as shown in table 1. (student t-test P-value 0.000)

Table 1: URR between twice weekly and thrice weekly dialysis groups.

URR Percentage	N	Mean	Std. Error Mean	T-Value	P-Value
Twice weekly Thrice weekly	28	53.3036	1.14631		
weekly	22	67.8	1.58052	7.607	0.000 (S)

N=50. (S) = Significant When URR is compared between two groups by graph, (graph 1&2), there is significant reduction in URR in twice weekly dialysis group and more decrease in urea after dialysis in thrice weekly

group. WITHIN THE GROUPS: (twice weekly group & thrice weekly group). Though there was reduction in URR between subgroups within the main group, it was not statistically significant.

Table 2: URR between HCV + & HCV - in thrice weekly dialysis.

URR Percentage	N	Mean	Std. Error Mean	T-Value	P-Value
HCV+	4	67.8	2.91919		1
нсу-	18	67.8	1.85402	0	(NS)
		HCV- Hepatitis C V	irus, NS = Not significant		

Table3: HCV + & HCV - in twice weekly dialysis.

URR Percentage	N	Mean	Std. Error Mean	T-Value	P-Value
HCV+	4	57.65	0.46547		0.124
нсу-	24	52.5792	6.2714	1.591	(NS)

Table 4: URR & Albumin <3.Sg/dl & above 3.Sg/dl in thrice weekly dialysis.

URR Percentage	N	Mean	Std. Error Mean	T-Value	P-Value
Albumin< 3.5g/dl	7	65.4857	1.97682		0.329
Normal >3.5g/dl	15	68.88	2.11005	1	(NS)

Table5: URR & Albumin <3.5g/dl & >3.5g/dl in twice weekly dialysis.

URR Percentage	N	Mean	Std. Error Mean	T-Value	P-Value
Albumin< 3.5g/dl	12	52.7417	2.10876	-418	0.679
Normal> 3.5g/dl	16	53.725	1.29066 ·		(NS)

Table 6: URR in patients with Diabetes, Hypertension & with both Diabetes and hypertension in thrice weekly dialysis.

	Sum of Squares	Df			
URR Percentage	_		Mean Square	F value	Sig.
D,HT,D&HT.					
Between groups	61.578	3	20.526	0.338	0.798
Within Groups	1092.522	18	60.696		(NS)
Total	1154.1	21			

Table 7: ANOVA Table Comorbid conditions with in twice weekly dialysis group.

			Mean square			
URRpercentage	Sum of squares	Df		F value	Sig.	
D, HT, D & HT						
Between groups	25.753	3	8.584	0.213	0.886	
Within groups	967.657	24	40.319		(NS)	
Total	993.41	27				

Tables 8: ANOVA Table Cardiac failure: mild, moderate & severe in thrice weekly dialysis.

	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	F	
URR Percentage		Df		value	Sig.
CARDIAC FAILURE					
(mild, moderate & severe)				1.3	.305 (NS)
Between groups Within Groups					
Total			68.492		
	205.477	3	52.701		
	948.623	18			
	1154.1	21			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-

REFERENCES

- 1. Jindal KK, Manuel A, Goldstein MB. Percent Reduction in Blood Urea Concentration During Hemodialysis (PRU) A Simple and Accurate Method to Estimate Kt/V Urea. ASAIO Journal 1987;33:286-288.
- 2. Basile C, Casino F, Lopez T. Percent reduction in blood urea concentration during dialysis estimates Kt/V in a simple and accurate way. American Journal of Kidney Diseases 1990;15:40-45.
- 3. Depner TA. Hemodialysis adequacy: basic essentials and practical points for the nephrologist in training. Hemodial Int 2005 Jul; 9:241-254.
- Sarkar SR, Kotanko P, Levin NW. Fellows' Forum in Dialysis: Interdialytic Weight Gain: Implications in Hemodialysis Patients. InSeminars in dialysis Malden, USA: Blackwell Publishing Inc 2006; 19: 429-433